REMARKS

Applicant has amended claims 1-8 to clarify that the advertisement and the media buys related to non-internet advertisement and media buys. Support for these amendments is found in the specification specifically on page 4, line 3, et al. The Examiner has rejected claims 1-8 as being obvious over Matsumoto (U.S. Patent No. 6,763,334). Matsumoto describes a method and system of arranging advertisements on a network for a potential media owner. Matsumoto specifically describes allocated an advertisers website to the ad space of a network media. The responses of Matsumoto all include having to visit the advertisers website. Matsumoto nowhere describes or teaches any method for determining which non-internet advertisements generated a web click. Therefore, claims 1-8 of the present application are not obvious over Matsumoto. Further, regarding claim 3, Matsumoto does not describe the date and time of a non-internet advertisement, the type of non-internet advertising and the location and expiration date of the non-internet advertising. Matsumoto further does not describe the costs and demographics of the non-internet advertisement specified in claims 4 and 5. Regarding claim 6, Matsumoto does not teach presenting a report which shows when a web click does not correspond to an advertisement.

Applicant believes that the application is now in condition for allowance.

"EXPRESS MAIL" Mailing Label No. EV 719121035 US

Date of Deposit: November 17, 2005

I hereby certify that this paper (and any document(s) attached herewith Is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail

Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 C.F.R. 1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313 on

November 17, 2005

Signature:

Name:

Debbie Broderick

PMW:db

Respectfully submitted,

Philip M. Weiss Reg. No. 34,751

Attorney for Applicant

Weiss & Weiss

300 Old Country Rd., Ste. 251

Mineola, NY 11501 (516) 739-1500